PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Erom the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
To: Kespat OY P.O. Box 601 FI-40101 Jyväskylä	PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE	
TI-40.101 by.vasky.ta	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
·	(RCT Rule 43bis,1)	
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 0.4 =0.7= 2005	
Applicant's or agent's file reference PCT237/470TK	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
PCT/FI2005/050048 24.02.2005		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national clas HO4N 13/00	ssification and IPC	
Applicant Nokia Corporation et al		
The second of th		
This opinion contains indications relating to the following Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion	g items:	
Box No. II Priority.		
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
Box-No. IV Lack of unity of invention	N.	
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43b applicability; citations and explanati	is.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty; inventive step or industrial ions supporting such statement	
Box No. VI Certain documents cited		
Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application		
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the internati	ional application	
2. FURTHER ACTION		
Authority other than this one to be IPEA and the chosen II written opinions of this International Searching Authority		
of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 mont	ritten opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the lendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing the from the priority date, whichever expires later.	
For further opinions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.		
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.		
Name and mailing address of the ISA/SE Patent - och registreringsverket	Authorized officer	
BOX 5055 S-102:42 STOCKHOLM	Jesper Bergstrand /OGU	
Facsimile No. +46 8 667 72 88	Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00	

Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No:

PCT/FI2005/050048

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
WINCH IL	ard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item:
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 nd 23.1(b)).
ciannon	ard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a sequence listing
<u>.</u>	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b.:forma	of material in written format
	in computer readable form
c. time o	of filing/furnishing
	contained in the international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additions	ll comments:
	·
<u> </u>	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/FI2005/050048

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement Novelty (N) 2-13, 15-26, 28-37, 39-46, 48 Claims YES 1,14,27,38,47 Claims NO Inventive step (IS) Claims YES Claims 1-48 NO: Industrial applicability (IA) 1-48 Claims YES. Claims:

2. Citations and explanations:

Document cited in the International Search Report:

D1: EP0830034 A

From D1 an electronic equipment, which includes camera means for forming data on an object located in the imaging direction, in which case the said camera means include at least two camera units and data processing means, which are arranged to process the data formed by the camera means, according to the currently chosen imaging mode of the equipment, in order to form image information is known (refer to page 34, line 5-page 35, line 37; figures 3, 4, 21a, 46, 47, 55 and 56; claims 30, 33, 34 and 44 and abstract) in which equipment, the mutual position of the camera units relative to each other is arranged to be altered to correspond to the current imaging mode.

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1 is previously known and therefore lacks novelty.

Since the present invention according to the independent claims 14 (system), 27 (method), 38 (program product) and 47 (camera module) does not encompass any technical features not present in claim 1, the analysis above concerning claim 1 is also applicable to these claims. Hence, the present invention according to claims 14, 27, 38 and 47 lacks novelty over D1, and is therefore not patentable.

Furthermore, the methods/systems referred to in claims 2-13,

and in

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/F12005/050048

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of Box V.

15-26, 28-37, 39-46 and 48 are considered to lack an inventive step over D1, since what is defined in these claims is not considered to differ essentially from what is known from the cited document (D1). If it can be shown that some aspect covered by claims 2-13, 15-26, 28-37, 39-46 and 48 provides unexpected effects and the claims are restricted accordingly, the judgement may be reconsidered. Until these conditions are met, claims 2-13, 15-26, 28-37, 39-46 and 48 are not considered to involve an inventive step.

Consequently, the claimed invention according to claims 1, 14, 27, 38 and 47 lacks novelty over D1, whereas the claimed invention according to claims 2-13, 15-26, 28-37, 39-46 and 48 is novel, but not considered to involve an inventive step over the teachings of D1.

Further, the claimed invention according to claims 1-48 is industrially applicable.